# Translation

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 03PCFP849	FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP03/05136	23 April 2003	(23.04.03)	23 April 2002 (23.04.02)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n H05K 9/00, 3/28	ational classification and	IPC				
Applicant	NEC CORPO	ORATION				
This international preliminary examand is transmitted to the applicant a  This REPORT consists of a total of	ccording to Article 36.		national Preliminary Examining Authority			
This report is also accompan amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the These annexes consist of a to	or this report and/or sheet Administrative Instructi	s containing rectifications under the PCT).	on, claims and/or drawings which have been tions made before this Authority (see Rule			
This report contains indications relations	ating to the following iter	ns:				
I Basis of the report						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive st	tep and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of in	vention					
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report			
23 April 2003 (23.0	4.03)	12 N	ovember 2003 (12.11.2003)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

International application No.

PCT/JP03/05136

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

<b>I.</b> ]	Basis	of the re	report	
1.	With	regard to	to the elements of the international application:*	
	$\boxtimes$	the inte	ternational application as originally filed	
	百	the des	escription:	
		pages	•	, as originally filed
		pages		, filed with the demand
		pages		<b>-</b> '
	$\Box$	the clai		
	ш	pages		, as originally filed
		pages		
		pages		_, filed with the demand
ŀ		pages		
ŀ	$\Box$			
	Ш		awings:	
		pages		, as originally filed
		pages		
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
		he seque	uence listing part of the description:	
		pages		, as originally filed
		pages		_, filed with the demand
Ì		pages	, filed with the letter of	
2.	the in	nternatio e elemer the lar the lar	anguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  anguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  anguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination	which is:
3.	With preli	n regard minary e	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicexamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	ication, the international
		contai	ained in the international application in written form.	
		filed to	together with the international application in computer readable form.	
l		furnis	shed subsequently to this Authority in written form.	•
		furnis	shed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		The s	statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyon national application as filed has been furnished.	d the disclosure in the
		The s	statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the wri furnished.	tten sequence listing has
4.		The ar	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	·
		H	the description, pages the claims, Nos	
		H	the drawings, sheets/fig	
		Ш		
5.		This re	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they had the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	we been considered to go
*	in th	acement is repoi	nt sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain	Article 14 are referred to amendments (Rule 70.16

\*\* Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP03/05136

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

ement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	3-18	YES
	Claims	1, 2	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 5, 7, 15-17	YES
	Claims	1-3, 6, 8-14, 18	МО
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-18	YES
	Claims		NO

### 2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: EP, 802710, A2 (Lucent Technologies Inc.), 22 October, 1997 (22.10.97)

Document 2: GB, 2254193, A (Telemecanique), 30 September, 1992 (30.09.92)

Document 3: JP, 9-51192, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 18 February, 1997 (18.02.97)

### Claims 1 and 2

The subject matters of claims 1 and 2 do not appear to be novel in view of document 1 cited in the ISR. The ground connecting portion described in claim 1 is disclosed in document 1 (column 5, lines 24-45). The constitution described in claim 1 in which a shield pack has (1) an insulating layer as the innermost layer and (2) a conductive layer as the outermost layer is disclosed in document 1 (column 6, lines 19-58). The constitution described in claim 1 in which the insulating layer is kept in close contact with electronic parts and a circuit board is disclosed in document 1 (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 14). The constitution described in claim 2 in which the volume in the shield pack is decreased is disclosed in document 1 (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 14).

### Claims 3, 6, 8, 13, 14 and 18

The subject matters of claims 3, 6, 8, 13, 14 and 18 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. The grounding pad described in document 1 and the screws described in document 2 are identical with each other in function and belong to similar technical fields. So, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of using the screws described in document 2 instead of the grounding pad described in document 1.

### Claims 4, 5, 15 and 16

The subject matters of claims 4, 5, 15 and 16 appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR. Documents 1-3 do not describe the constitution in which a base portion has a sectional area smaller than that of the bottom face of a weight to prevent the base portion from protruding from the bottom face of the weight. On the other hand, the invention of the present application with this constitution exhibits an advantageous effect that when a ground connecting terminal breaks through a shield pack, it cannot easily come out.

International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP03/05136

### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: V.2

### Claims 7 and 17

The subject matters of claims 7 and 17 appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR. Documents 1-3 do not describe the constitution in which a through hole is filled with a conductor connected to a ground connecting portion.

### Claim 9

The subject matter of claim 9 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. The constitution described in claim 9 in which the air in a shield pack is evacuated is disclosed in document 1 (column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 14).

### Claim 10

The subject matter of claim 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. The constitution described in claim 10 in which at least part of a circuit board and at least part of the external faces of electronic parts are coated with an adhesive is disclosed in document 1 (column 8, lines 40-57).

### Claims 11 and 12

The subject matters of claims 11 and 12 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR. The metalized flexible enclosure described in document 1 and the heat shrinkable tube described in document 3 are identical with each other in function and belong to similar technical fields. So, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of using the heat shrinkable tube described in document 3 instead of the metalized flexible enclosure described in document 1.